

From: "Murer, Marguerite A."
To: "Kavanaugh, Brett M.", "Mamo, Jeanie S.", "Smith, Matthew E."
Subject: Sample Roberts Nomination Incoming Emails
Sent: Wed, 20 Jul 2005 07:54:31 -0500

From: Michael J. Femal, Esq. [<mailto:femalmjf@comcast.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2005 11:57 PM
To: Public Comments
Subject: Robets Nomination

Dear President Bush:

Your nomination of John Roberts to the Supreme Court vacancy is brilliant. I believe that you have nominated someone who is respected by both sides in the Senate of unquestionable ability and integrity. He is also believes in the Constitution which is the only qualification required by someone with his qualifications. You promised during your

2000 and 2004 Presidential campaigns that you would appoint someone who would not be an activist judge who legislates from the bench but someone who actually sticks to our beautiful Constitution when interpreting the law. You have kept your promise to the People who voted for you and I am very proud of you today as our President. Even the Senate Democrats who unanimously approved of Roberts in the Senate for the DC appellate court are now faced with a mainstream candidate of unquestionable credentials. Great job! I look forward to you appointing another Chief Justice in the near future of similar credentials that will abide by the US Constitution rather than go with the popular whim of the day. Thanks again for your excellent choice today and in the future.

MICHAEL J. FEMAL OF FEMAL & ASSOCIATES
Ph(224) 623-1706; Fax(847) 259-8588

From: Lance Winslow [<mailto:Lance@carwashguys.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2005 9:43 PM
To: Public Comments
Subject: God I hate Lawyers

Mr. President and Supreme Ct. Nomination Team,

I cannot tell you how much I hate lawyers, but this Roberts fellow seems like an excellent choice. He is a good one.

Congratulations on your pick for the next Supreme Court Justice.

Good Job.

Lance Winslow

From: kmotske@aol.com [<mailto:kmotske@aol.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2005 9:27 PM
To: Public Comments
Subject: SUPREME COURT NOMINEE

Dear President Bush:

We are thrilled with your nomination of Judge John G. Roberts! He is a young, solid conservative that will be a welcome addition to the Supreme Court.

Congratulations for standing firm in your beliefs and for fulfilling your election promises to fill this important vacancy with a judge who will protect our constitution.

We are grateful for your wise and principled decision.

Karen & Richard Motske
Laguna Niguel, CA

From: Helen Veasel [<mailto:ivwv@msn.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2005 9:43 PM
To: President@whitehouse.gov
Subject: John Roberts Nomination

Dear President Bush:

We just want you to know we approve your choice of John Roberts as the nominee for the Supreme Court Justice! His values seem to be those that reflect the values passed on from generation to generation of loyal law abiding citizens of America. Thank you for having the courage to nominate a person who personifies a person of integrity and a commitment who will carry out his convictions to do what is best for our great country.

We will be praying that this nomination will ultimately be confirmed. May God continually bless and lead you as you lead this great nation.

Sincerely,

Helen Harrison
Ilene Veasel

From: Joe Wiedemann [<mailto:joew128@verizon.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2005 9:49 PM
To: president@whitehouse.gov
Subject: Nomination to the supreme court

Mister President - Congratulations!

Judge Roberts is a fine choice and the majority of Americans will support you in nominating him.

Please make sure he does not become a victim of the abortion extremists. I expect you to stand with your choice and to win this one.

Your loyal supporter,

JOE WIEDEMANN

From: [REDACTED] b(3) 10 USC 130b
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2005 11:57 PM
To: The President
Subject: Supreme Court Nomination

[REDACTED] b(3) 10 USC 130b
3650 Carveacre Road
Alpine, CA 91901

July 19, 2005

The President
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Dear Mister President Sir:

My name is [b(3) 10 USC 130b] I have served the United States Navy proudly for the past 24 years and I am very proud and honored to support you. I rarely attempt to write to public officials but I am writing now to express my disappointment in your Supreme Court Nomination. While he may be very qualified in many aspects I was very much hoping you would chose a minority. Please don't assume I'm a liberal in Sheep's clothing but I have seen some very difficult years as a woman in uniform and being considered as a minority. Surely there is a minority out there that is equally qualified. It is probably hard to understand why it is important to me; there are a lot of arguments about avoiding affirmative action, blah blah blah...normally I shy away from anything that smacks of that.

But you have always been a front runner in demonstrating that people from all walks of life are worthy opponents and allies. To select another caucasian male is very disheartening. Please reconsider the next time you have an opportunity to do so.

Very respectfully

[b(3) 10 USC 130b]

Sincerely,

[b(3) 10 USC 130b]

(619) 249-9190

From: Ruth McKinney [mailto:flyingphn@sti.net]
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2005 11:48 PM
To: The President
Subject: Your nomination to the Supreme Court

Ruth McKinney
4945 Indian Peak Road
Mariposa, CA 95338

July 19, 2005

The President
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20500

Mr. President:

President Bush,

Are you trying to see how low in the polls one President can go? Why on earth did you nominate this anti-abortion activist? Pay back for the millions from the right wing evangelicals? You must certainly know that there will be a fight to the finish over this one. Is this your way of diverting attention from the awful War in Iraq? Or diverting our attention away from the Rove scandal?

You lied, you are not a uniter, you divide this country with every move

you make. You purposefully nominate and re-nominate judges that are not suitable. Absolute power corrupts and you're corruption is

You are a selfish, deceitful man who shames the office of the President of the United States. You are an embarrassment to every decent American. You are also a terrorist, and a true war criminal. You should be on trial along with Saddam Hussein.

SHAME ON YOU!

Sincerely,

Ruth McKinney
209-966-7531

From: Shawn McGill [<mailto:shawndavid72@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2005 9:06 PM
To: W
Cc: Stacy; Steph Osborne; Alan Simons; Justice Department; Tracy
Subject: John G. Roberts Jr.

Mr. President,

John Roberts Jr? Really...

You do realize such a far-right conservative nominee will broaden the division that is already apparent throughout the nation. Of course you realize that. You have NEVER been a uniter. You continue to push the nation farther and farther to the right and now you're trying to tilt the nation's highest court to the far right for the next 25 years. Don't you realize that a balanced supreme court is essential to the protection my civil liberties?

Once again your arrogance is obvious. You have ignored the advice of both democratic and republican congressmen and let your ego decide the fate of our nation.

We'll get ready for a fight. Your Roberts nomination will be denied. So you may want to start thinking of another, more centralist nominee (You do realize that "centralist" is not a bad word. It refers to someone who is founded in core American values).

Upset and Disappointed,

Shawn McGill

From: Michelle McClellan [<mailto:mmcclellan@syntexsolutions.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2005 9:23 PM
To: Public Comments
Subject: Supreme Court Nomination

I can only tell you that you have lost my last speck of respect. With this nomination, I feel that you are taking society backwards! You're reducing the female representation on the bench, and we deserve much more consideration than you're willing to give. I only hope you don't doom society for years to come with such choices and lopsided support.

Michelle McClellan
Female

From: Jessica Sweat [<mailto:jessica2003zo6@msn.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2005 9:27 PM
To: president@whitehouse.gov
Subject: Supreme Court Nominee

Mr. President,

How is it that we knew that the nominee you would choose for the Supreme Court to replace a wonderful person like Judge O' Conner would of course be a man, not a woman and would of course be pro-life not pro-choice. You'll just find any and every way you can to end every right a Woman has ever fought for, won't you. Just like you want to overturn Roe vs. Wade so much why don't you just go ahead and overturn the Equal Rights Amendment passed in 1973 too. Everyone has beliefs, but as a President, shouldn't you of all people know that there's a Separation of Church and State in our Constitution. What YOU believe in religiously should not be involved in decisions that are made in our Government. This is a Democracy, right. We are not a Communist country, or is that what we are going to turn into when decisions involving our own bodies and minds are made by the Government?